



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/776,508

02/12/2004

Donald J. Curry

118591

3683

27074 7590 05/28/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC.

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

TYLER, NATHAN K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/28/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com

jarmstrong@oliff.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/776,508	Applicant(s) CURRY ET AL.	
	Examiner NATHAN K. TYLER	Art Unit 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NATHAN K. TYLER.

(3) Jon Backenstose, Reg. No. 47,399.

(2) King Poon (SPE).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Roetling, Hosoya.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Motivation to combine Hosoya and Roetling, as well as whether or not this combination meets the limitations of claim 1 was discussed. Possible limitations to be added to claim 1 in order to overcome the prior art were also discussed..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nathan Tyler/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required